Advanced Program Licensure Test Data – Building-Level Administrator (BLA)

Description

The data reflected in these tables reflect licensure pass rates and scores. Prior to September 1, 2021 the state used Pearson Assessment and the EPP reported data on only candidates graduating with a degree (per CAEP standards excluding add-on licensure-only programs). After September 1, 2021, the state began using the ETS Praxis exams and the EPP started reporting all completers, degree recipients and licensure-only.

<u>Table 1:</u> The N for each cycle of data is the number of leadership program completers and unique test takers in each Title II reporting cycle (September 1 – August 31) and reflects their best test attempt during that cycle. This is the same formula used by the state Department of Education reporting, as state average scores and passing rates are calculated and published based on each tester's best test score.

<u>Table 2:</u> The N for each cohort is the number of completers and testers from each cohort, regardless of when the licensure test was actually taken and reflects each completer's best test attempt.

Data

Table 1: Test Scores by Title II Reporting Cycle

		Pearson	Praxis Test 5412			
	2019-2020 Cycle N=5		2020-2021 Cycle N=6		2021-2022 Cycle N=16	
	Avg. Scale Score (Passing =220)	% Passing	Avg. Scale Score (Passing =220)	% Passing	Avg. Scale Score (Passing =150)	% Passing
EPP	221	80%	219	50%	165	100%
STATE	238.9	84%	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available

Table 2: Test Scores by Program Cohort

		Pearson	Praxis Test 5412			
	(2020 Cor N:	mpleters)	Cohort 10 (2021 Completers) N=4		Cohort 11 (2022 Completers) N=8	
	Avg. Scale Score (Passing =220)	% Passing	Avg. Scale Score (Passing =220)	% Passing	Avg. Scale Score (Passing =150)	% Passing
EPP	213	67%	232	75%	166	100%

Analysis

- The number of completer test takers increased in the most recent cycle now that all completers are reported (degree recipients and licensure-only).
- The 2021-22 cycle saw more completers tested under the new ETS Praxis Exam and completers had a 100% pass rate.

Interpretation

Average scores from low sample sizes should always be viewed and interpreted with caution. The small number of MAEL completers and test takers in the previous two cycles makes average scores more susceptible to skewing from individual high or low scores. While Table 1 provides scores by the Title II cycle, Table 2 (by cohort) more accurately reflects the impact of adjustments to program courses and curriculum.